



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,494	03/08/2004	Padmanabhan Raghunandhan		2493

7590 09/22/2008
P. Raghunandhan
No 7
25th Cross Street
Besant Nagar, Chennai, 600090
INDIA

EXAMINER

DISTEFANO, GREGORY A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2176

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/22/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/708,494	Applicant(s) RAGHUNANDHAN, PADMANABHAN	
	Examiner GREGORY A. DISTEFANO	Art Unit 2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 7, 12, 13 and 25-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 7, 12, 13 and 25-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to amendment filed on 5/22/2008.
2. Claims 7, 12, 13, and 24-27 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 7, 12, 13, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lopke (6,553,310).

5. As per claim 7, Lopke teaches the following:

selecting a telephone number corresponding to a known location, (column 6, line 65 – column 7, line 2), i.e. the location information may be provided in the form of a street address, zip code, zip+4, local area code, local telephone exchange, ordered pair of latitude and longitude values, etc.;

making a correlation between the telephone number and the known location, (column 7, lines 14-18), i.e. server 110, using search engine 112, accesses local

Art Unit: 2176

database 116 as necessary to convert the location information into a useful form. For example, if the location is specified as a street address, location database 116 may be used to convert that location format into an ordered pair of latitude and longitude values;

searching at least one of the World Wide Web and a database to identify locations at which the desired services or information can be obtained, (column 7, lines 25-27), i.e. search engine 112 further uses the class of resource information to identify and retrieve the identity and location of qualifying resources listed in the resource database;

selecting at least one of the identified locations at which the desired services or information can be obtained, (column 8, lines 4-5), i.e. at step 212 resources satisfying the request and location criteria of the requestor are identified;

determining, for each selected location, a distance between the known location and the selected location, (column 8, lines 14-17), i.e. the results may also include an indication of distances to resources and confidence of match using appropriate icons, colors, highlighting, arrangement, placement, or other indicia of resource ranking; and

displaying the selected locations in order of distance between the known location and the selected location, (column 3, lines 38-40), i.e. results are displayed so as to indicate a degree to which search criteria are satisfied. Thus, resources may be listed in order of distance, travel time, proximity to route of travel, etc.

6. Regarding claim 12, Lopke teaches the method of claim 7 as described above.

Lopke further teaches the following:

determining a latitude and longitude corresponding to the telephone number, (column 6, line 65 – column 7, line 2), i.e. the location information may be provided in the form of a street address, zip code, zip+4, local area code, local telephone exchange, ordered pair of latitude and longitude values, etc , (column 7, lines 14-18), i.e. server 110, using search engine 112, accesses local database 116 as necessary to convert the location information into a useful form. For example, if the location is specified as a street address, location database 116 may be used to convert that location format into an ordered pair of latitude and longitude values.

7. Regarding claim 13, Lopke teaches the method of claim 7 as described above. Lopke further teaches the following:

the telephone number contains a telephone area code, further comprising determining a latitude and longitude corresponding to the telephone area code, (column 6, line 65 – column 7, line 2), i.e. the location information may be provided in the form of a street address, zip code, zip+4, **local area code**, local telephone exchange, ordered pair of latitude and longitude values, etc , (column 7, lines 14-18), i.e. server 110, using search engine 112, accesses local database 116 as necessary to convert the location information into a useful form. For example, if the location is specified as a street address, location database 116 may be used to convert that location format into an ordered pair of latitude and longitude values.

8. Regarding claim 25, Lopke teaches the method of claim 7 as described above.

Lopke further teaches the following:

a mobile device is used for selecting the telephone number corresponding to a known location, (column 6, lines 20-24), I.E. Personal digital assistance (PDA) 120 provides a mobile capability for accessing Internet 108 via mobile cellular system 126 and cellular base station network 128, the latter connecting to Internet 108 via, for example, an appropriate router.

9. Regarding claim 27, Lopke teaches the method of claim 7 as described above.

Lopke further teaches the following:

the information about a location at which desired services or information can be obtained includes information about at least one type of service available at that location and further comprising displaying, for at least one selected location, information about at least one type of service available at that location, (column 8, lines 26-29), i.e. each entry further includes a point or two of additional resource information 314 which may include a web URL address or other links to information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopke as applied to claim 7 above, in view of Schultz et al. (US 2002/0002552), hereinafter Schultz.

12. As per claim 24, Lopke teaches the following:

selecting a telephone number, Zip code or airport code corresponding to a known location, (column 6, line 65 – column 7, line 2), i.e. the location information may be provided in the form of a street address, zip code, zip+4, local area code, local telephone exchange, ordered pair of latitude and longitude values, etc.;

making a correlation between the telephone number, Zip code, or airport code and the known location, (column 7, lines 14-18), i.e. server 110, using search engine 112, accesses local database 116 as necessary to convert the location information into a useful form. For example, if the location is specified as a street address, location database 116 may be used to convert that location format into an ordered pair of latitude and longitude values;

searching at least one of the World Wide Web and a database to identify locations at which the desired services or information can be obtained, (column 7, lines 25-27), i.e. search engine 112 further uses the class of resource information to identify and retrieve the identity and location of qualifying resources listed in the resource database;

selecting at least one of the identified locations at which the desired services or information can be obtained, (column 8, lines 4-5), i.e. at step 212 resources satisfying the request and location criteria of the requestor are identified;

determining, for each selected location, a distance between the known location and the selected location, (column 8, lines 14-17), i.e. the results may also include an indication of distances to resources and confidence of match using appropriate icons, colors, highlighting, arrangement, placement, or other indicia of resource ranking;

displaying the selected locations in order of distance between the known location and the selected location, (column 8, lines 14-17), i.e. the results may also include an indication of distances to resources and confidence of match using appropriate icons, colors, highlighting, arrangement, placement, or other indicia of resource ranking.

However, Lopke does not explicitly teach a method where advertisements are presented based on the known location. Schultz teaches the following:

providing advertisements based on the known location while displaying the selected locations, (abstract), i.e. the GIS based search engine can be combined with real time advertising to create a dynamic “yellow page reference”.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the geographic search engine of Lopke with the geographic advertising method of Schultz. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have made such modifications because both Lopke (see column 7, lines 1-5) and Schultz (see abstract) are directed to methods of internet yellow pages.

13. Regarding claim 26, Lopke teaches the method of claim 7 as described above. However, Lopke does not explicitly teach a method where advertisements are presented based on the known location. Schultz teaches the following:

providing advertisements while displaying the selected locations, the advertisements selected based upon the nature and location of the search irrespective of the current location of the mobile device, (abstract), i.e. the GIS based search engine can be combined with real time advertising to create a dynamic "yellow page reference".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the geographic search engine of Lopke with the geographic advertising method of Schultz. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have made such modifications because both Lopke (see column 7, lines 1-5) and Schultz (see abstract) are directed to methods of internet yellow pages.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7, 12, 13, and 25-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY A. DISTEFANO whose telephone number is (571)270-1644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 a.m. - 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on 571-272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/GREGORY A DISTEFANO/
Examiner, Art Unit 2176
9/15/2008

/Doug Hutton/
Doug Hutton
Supervisory Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2100